

Application No. 09/915,611	Applicant(s) LINGAFELT ET AL.	
Examiner Melvin H. Pollack	Art Unit 2145	

Paper No. 20051202

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called in regards to a possible three-way restriction. Claim 1 involved inbound flow monitoring and modifying of instructions. Claim 19 involved outbound flow monitoring and instruction modifying. Claim 28 involved inbound and outbound flow monitoring, but could not be a linking claim because it involved discarding data flows rather than instruction modifying.

The applicant agreed to amend claim 19 (and certain dependents) and cancel claim 28 (and all dependents) so as to no longer have different inventions.